Anthelmintic activities against Haemonchus contortus or Trichostrongylus colubriformis from small ruminants are influenced by structural features of condensed tannins

Article

Accepted Version

Anthelmintic Activities against *Haemonchus contortus* or *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* from Small Ruminants are Influenced by Structural Features of Condensed Tannins

Condensed Tannin Structures and Anthelmintic Activities

Jessica Quijada[†,‡], Christos Fryganas[§], Honorata M. Ropiak[§], Aina Ramsay[§], Irene Mueller-Harvey[§], Hervé Hoste*[†,‡]

[†] INRA, UMR 1225 IHAP, 23 Chemin des Capelles, Toulouse F-31076, France

[‡] Université de Toulouse, ENVT, 23 Chemin des Capelles, Toulouse F-31076, France

[§] University of Reading, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, 1 Earley Gate, P.O. Box 236, Reading RG6 6AT, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: *(H.H.) phone/fax: + (33) 05-61-19-38-75 / + (33) 05-61-19-32-43. E-mail: h.hoste@envt.fr

ABSTRACT

Plants containing condensed tannins (CTs) may hold promise as alternatives to synthetic anthelmintic (AH) drugs for controlling gastrointestinal nematodes (GINs). However, the structural features that contribute to the AH activities of CTs remain elusive. This study probed the relationships between CT structures and their AH activities. Eighteen plant resources were selected based on their diverse CT structures. From each plant resource, two CT fractions were isolated and their *in vitro* AH activities were measured with the Larval Exsheathment Inhibition Assay, which was applied to *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. Calculation of mean EC_{50} values indicated that *H. contortus* was more susceptible than *T colubriformis* to the different fractions and that the F1 fractions were less efficient than the F2 ones, as indicated by the respective mean values for *H.contortus* F1 = 136.9 ± 74.1 µg/ml; and for *H.contortus* F2 = 108.1 ± 53.2 µg/ml and for *T colubriformis* F1 = 233 ± 54.3 µg/ml and F2=166 ± 39.9 µg/ml. The results showed that the AH activity against *H. contortus* was associated with the monomeric subunits that give rise to prodelphinidins ($P < 0.05$) and with CT polymer size ($P < 0.10$). However, for *T. colubriformis* AH activity was correlated only with prodelphinidins ($P < 0.05$). These results suggest that CTs have different modes of action against different parasite species.

KEY WORDS

Proanthocyanidins; larval exsheathment inhibition assay (LEIA); nematodes; ruminants; structure-activity relationships

INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal nematodes (GINs) represent a major threat for the breeding and production of grazing ruminants. Up to now, their control has been based mainly on the repeated use of synthetic AH drugs. However, worm populations in small ruminants have consistently developed resistance against all AH drugs.[1] Therefore, the search for alternative solutions to such drug treatments is now a necessity for a more sustainable control of these parasites.[2] The last two decades have provided evidence that some plants possess natural AH bioactivity, which is based on the presence of condensed tannins (CTs) and flavonoids. Such plants, therefore, represent a promising alternative to chemotherapy especially when used as nutraceuticals that combine beneficial effects on health and nutrition in small and large ruminants.[3-6]

The involvement of CTs in the observed anthelmintic (AH) effects against parasitic nematodes has been suggested from several results acquired *in vitro* using either plant extracts or purified CT fractions[7-10] and from *in vivo* studies with tannin-containing resources.[11-15]

Differences in AH effects have repeatedly been noticed between abomasal versus intestinal nematode species of both small ruminant and cattle parasite.[12] These observations have been made in *in vitro*[9,10,16] and *in vivo* studies with the same CT-resources.[13,15,17,18]

Some authors have suggested that different structural features of CTs are involved in their AH effects, namely: i) CT size[7,10,19,20]; ii) the type of flavan-3-ol subunits that give rise to either prodelphinidin (PD) or procyanidin tannins (PC)[8,20,21,22] or iii) the stereochemistry of the C-ring in these subunits (i.e. *trans* vs. *cis* flavan-3-ols)[19,22]. Taken together, these observations led us to hypothesize that there are quantitative and qualitative differences between CTs, which determine their activity against parasitic nematodes. There is thus a need to evaluate the structure-activity relationship between tannins and GINs. A better

46 understanding of these plant compounds is also required for a more rational use of these
47 nutraceutical feeds under farm conditions.
48 Therefore, the objectives of the current study were: i) to examine the relationship between
49 tannin structures and their anthelmintic activities by using 36 different tannin fractions that
50 span CTs with a wide range of sizes and prodelphinidin/procyanidin and *trans/cis*-flavan-3-
51 ol ratio, ii) to evaluate whether responses towards CTs differ between abomasal and
52 intestinal small ruminant nematode species.

53 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

54 **Chemicals**

55 Hydrochloric acid (37%, analytical reagent grade), butan-1-ol, acetic acid glacial (analytical
56 reagent grade), acetone (analytical reagent grade), acetonitrile (HPLC grade),
57 dichloromethane (laboratory reagent grade), hexane (GLC, pesticide residue grade) and
58 methanol (HPLC grade) were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK);
59 benzyl mercaptan (BM) from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK); phosphate buffered saline (PBS)
60 from Biomérieux (Marcy l'Etoile, France); Sephadex™ LH-20 from GE Healthcare (Little
61 Chalfont, UK); ultrapure water (MQ H_2O) from a Milli-Q Plus system (Millipore, Watford, UK).

62 **Preparation of plant extracts and tannin fractions**

63 Eighteen different plant materials were used: aerial plants of *Onobrychis viciifolia* (OV) were
64 collected on 7 June 2012 (Barham, Kent, UK); *Trifolium repens* flowers were collected from
65 at NIAB (Cambridge, UK; sample TRa) or purchased from Zioła z Kurpi (Jednorożec,
66 Poland; sample TRb); *Lespedeza cuneata* (LC) pellets from Sims Brothers Seed Company
67 (Union Springs, AL, USA); *Betulae folium* leaves (*Betula pendula* Roth and/or *Betula*
68 *pubescens* Ehrh.; BP), *Tiliae inflorescentia* flowers (T; a mixture of *Tilia cordata*, *T.*
69 *platyphyllos* and *T. vulgaris* L), *Salicis cortex* bark (SA) from various *Salix* spp. (including *S.*
70 *purpurea* L.; *S. daphnoides* Vill.; *S. fragilis* L.), *Ribes nigrum* leaves (sample RNb) from Flos
71 (Mokrsko, Poland); *Corylus avellana* (CA) pericarp from Société Inovfruit (Musidan, France);

Juglandis folium leaves of *Juglans regia* L. (JR) from Kawon (Gostyń, Poland); inner bark of *Pinus sylvestris* (PS) from University of Turku (Turku, Finland); *Salix babylonica* catkins (SB) collected on 26 May 2012 (Emmer Green, UK); S*alix caprea* (SCL and SCT) leaves and twigs harvested on 19 June 2012 (Goring-on-Thames, UK); *Ribes nigrum* leaves (sample RNa) and *Ribes rubrum* leaves (RR) collected on 13 August 2012 from Hildred PYO farm (Goring-on-Thames, UK); *Theobroma cacao* beans (TC) from Peru (Imported by "Detox your world" inc., Norfolk, UK); *Vitellaria paradoxa* (VP) meal (i.e. residue of VP nuts after fat extraction; AarhusKarlshamm Sweden AB, Sweden). Samples OV and TRa were lyophilized, samples PS, CA, SCL, SCT, RNa, RR were dried at room temperature for <10 days and then stored at room temperature. The different botanical families [23] of each plants are indicated in the **Table 2**.

Extracts were prepared according to Stringano et al.[24] with a few modifications. Plant samples (50 g; <1 mm sieve) were extracted with 70% acetone/H_2O (500 ml, 7:3, v/v) and filtered under vacuum. Chlorophyll and lipids were removed with dichloromethane (125 ml) by liquid-liquid extraction. The remaining solvents were removed from the aqueous phase on a rotary evaporator at 35 °C. The aqueous extracts were centrifuged for 3 min at 4500 rpm (Jouan CR3i Multifunction Centrifuge) to remove the remaining chlorophyll, insoluble particles and some precipitates. Extracts were freeze-dried and stored at -20 °C.

Extracts were purified on Sephadex™-LH-20 chromatographic columns to remove impurities (mainly sugars and small phenolics) with water. Elution with acetone/H_2O (3:7, v/v) yielded fraction 1 CTs (F1), a second elution with acetone/H_2O (1:1, v/v) fraction 2 CTs (F2). In total 36 (18 F1 and 18 F2) fractions were tested using *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* infective third stage larvae (L3).

Tannin analysis by thiolytic degradation and HPLC

The purified CT fractions were subjected to thiolytic degradation as described by Gea et al.[25] with some changes in order to analyze CT contents (% CT) and features [(size in terms of

mean degree of polymerization, mDP; percentage of prodelphinidins and procyanidins within CTs, % PD and % PC; and percentage of *trans*- vs *cis*-flavanols, % *trans* and % *cis*)]. Freeze-dried samples (4 mg) were weighed into 10 ml glass tubes, methanol (1.5 ml) was added, followed by acidified methanol (0.5 ml of 3.3 % HCl/ in MeOH), benzyl mercaptan (50 µl) and a magnetic stirrer. The tube was capped and heated at 40 ^{o}C for 1 h in a water bath. Water (2.5 ml) was added to stop the reaction and the internal standard (0.5 ml of taxifolin: 0.05 mg/ml) was added. Samples were analyzed within 48 h by RP-HPLC[25].

Gastrointestinal nematodes

The third-stage larvae (L3) were obtained from faeces of donor goats, kept indoors and infected monospecifically, with AH susceptible, strains of either *H. contortus* or *T. colubriformis*. The facilities hosting the animals and the trial was performed according to French ethical and welfare rules (agreement number C 31 555 27 of 19 August 2010). Coprocultures were maintained for 12 days at 23 °C in order to obtain the third stage larvae. Larvae were then recovered from faeces using the Baerman technique and stored at 4 °C in a horizontally vented cap flask at a concentration of 1000 – 1500 L3/ml. Prior to use the larvae were checked to ensure that at least 90% of them were mobile and ensheathed.

The larval exsheathment inhibition assay (LEIA)

The larval exsheathment inhibition assay was performed as described by Bahuaud et al.[26] to compare the inhibitory effects of the various tannin fractions (F1 and F2) on the exsheathment process of *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis.* For both nematode species a batch of 2-month-old larvae was used to perform the *in vitro* assays.

Briefly, 1000 ensheathed L3 larvae (*H. contortus* or *T. colubriformis*) were first incubated for 3 hours at 20 °C with one of the fractions at serial dilutions from 600, 300, 150, 75 to 37.5 µg/ml in PBS (0.1 M phosphate, 0.05 M NaCl, pH 7.2). In addition to all the tested fractions, negative controls (L3 in PBS) were run in parallel. After incubation, the larvae were washed and centrifuged, 3 times in PBS, and then submitted to the artificial exsheathment process

by contact with a solution containing sodium hypochlorite (2% w/v) and sodium chloride (16.5 % w/v), which had been diluted 1 to 350 in PBS. The exsheathment kinetics were measured under a microscope at x 200 magnification by identifying the proportion of exsheathed larvae. Regular examination was performed at 0, 20, 40 and 60 min after contact with the exsheathment solution. The exsheathment percentage was calculated according to the formula: (number of exsheathed larvae) x 100/ (number of exsheathed larvae + ensheathed larvae). For each fraction, four replicates were run per concentration and observation time to examine the exsheathment kinetics.

Statistical analyses of the results

The EC_{50} (effective concentration that causes 50 % exsheathment inhibition) for each tannin fraction was calculated at 60 min (using the software Probit Polo Plus®). First a nonparametric rank correlation of Spearman was calculated using a 2 by 2 correlation in order to evaluate the relationship between the structural parameters characterizing the tannin fractions, and also the relationship between the *in vitro* AH activity (EC_{50} of each fraction) and quantitative (% CT) and qualitative parameters (mDP, % PD and *trans*) of the respective F1, F2 and the combined F1 and F2 (F1+F2) fractions. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) and (close to significance) values ($P < 0.10$) are reported.

Then multivariate analyses, principal component analyses (PCA), were performed separately for each nematode species based on the combined data of F1+F2 to obtain an overall synthesis of the relationships between the effects on larval exsheathment and the main CT features. The five variables composing the column of the 2 PCA matrices included quantitative (% CT) and qualitative parameters (mDP, % PD and *% trans* values) plus the EC_{50} per species. The 36 rows of the matrix corresponded to the F1 and F2 data of the 18 plant samples. All statistical analyses were performed using Systat® 9 software (SPSS Ltd).

RESULTS

Tannin analysis and relationships between structural parameters

The parameters, which characterized the 18 CT samples are provided in **Table 1**. The average % CT, mean degree of polymerization (mDP) and % prodelphinidins (PD) values were higher in the F2 compared with F1 fraction, whereas the mean % *trans* values were lower for F2. The Spearman correlation coefficients were positive and significant between the F1 and F2 fractions for mDP (r = 0.583, P < 0.05, df = 16), % PD (r = 0.975, P <0.01, df= 16), % *trans* (r = 0.728, P < 0.05, df = 16), which is due to the fact that these 15 plant species produce different CT types. There was no correlation for the % CT in both fractions (r = 0.082, NS, df = 16).

When the Spearman correlation test was applied to the combined F1+F2 data (n = 36 samples), there were positive correlation coefficients between % CT and mDP values (r = 0.696; P < 0.01; df = 34). A non-significant negative correlation existed between % CT and % *trans* (r = -0.261; NS; df = 34) and between % PD and mDP values (r = 0.270; NS; df = 34). This absence of a link between % PD and mDP is important, because column chromatography of CTs from the same plant material tends to lead to fractions, where % PD and mDP are positively correlated (unpublished observations). Therefore, these F1 and F2 fractions enable the investigation of relationships between CT structures and AH activities. Trends were observed for % PD and % *trans* (r = 0.300; P < 0.08; df = 34).

Anthelmintic activity

The different fractions affected the larval exsheathment process in a dose-dependent way. The EC_{50} values for each of the F1 and F2 fractions per plant sample were used to characterize the AH activity and are shown for *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis* in **Table 2**. For both parasites, EC_{50} values were generally lower with F2 than with F1 fractions. In addition, overall, EC_{50} values calculated for *H. contortus* were lower than those of *T. colubriformis*, suggesting that *H. contortus* was more susceptible to these fractions. Thus, the calculation of Spearman's correlation coefficients between EC_{50} values, obtained respectively for F1 and F2, showed significant and positive values for both species

176 separately, i.e. *H. contortus* (r = 0.642; P < 0.05; df = 15) and *T. colubriformis* (r = 0.688; P
177 < 0.01; df = 16). However, there were no correlations between the EC_{50} values of the F1
178 fractions between *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis* (r= -0.056; NS; df= 15) and also not for
179 the F2 fractions (r= 0.397; NS; df= 16). Finally, there were also no correlations between the
180 EC_{50} values of both parasite species with the F1+F2 combined data (r = 0.164; NS; df = 33).
181 **Figure 1** shows the EC_{50} score values in rank order for *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis*,
182 respectively. The 25% of the most effective plants against both GIN species (i.e. lowest EC_{50}
183 values) were *Vitellaria paradoxa*, *Trifolium repens*, *Lespedeza cuneata*, *Ribes nigrum*,
184 *Theobroma cacao* and *Betula* spp. In addition, *Onobrychis viciifolia* was active against *H.*
185 *contortus* and *Ribes rubrum* and *Salix babylonica* were active against *T. colubriformis*.
186 **Table 3** presents the Spearman's correlation coefficients between the EC_{50} values and the
187 various CT parameters for both nematode species in terms of the F1, F2 and the combined
188 (F1+F2) data. For *H. contortus*, there were negative trends between EC_{50} and mDP and %
189 PD of the F1 fraction and between EC_{50} and mDP of the (F1+F2) data. The correlation
190 between EC_{50}; and % PD was negative and significant for the (F1+F2) data. Somewhat
191 surprisingly, a significant positive correlation was noticed for EC_{50} values and % CT of the
192 F2 fractions.
193 In contrast, for *T. colubriformis* there were no correlations with mDP or % CT. Instead,
194 negative correlation coefficients between EC_{50} and % PD were close to significance for F1
195 (r = -0.453; P < 0.10; df = 16); F2 (r = -0.439; P < 0.10; df = 16) and were significant for the
196 combined (F1+F2) fractions (r = -0.403; P < 0.05; df = 34).
197 When PCA was applied separately to either *H. contortus* or *T. colubriformis*, the two main
198 components of axis 1 were mDP and % CT. For axis 2, % PD appeared as the key
199 component. The plane defined by the combination of axes 1 and 2 (**Figure 2**) represented
200 67 % of the overall variability for *H. contortus* and close to 70 % for *T. colubriformis*.

The main objective of the PCA was to analyze the overall combined relationships between the different variables and the effects on exsheathment as assessed by the EC_{50} values (**Figure 2**). Variables that are positively related are located on the same side of the plane. In contrast, variables that are negatively related are located in diagonally opposed quadrants. Analyses of these planes for both GIN species tend to confirm the 2 by 2 Spearman's correlation results. For *Haemonchus*, the EC_{50} values were in opposition to % PD and mDP values, and to a lesser extent to the % CT. For *Trichostrongylus*, the EC_{50} values were mainly in opposition to % PD.

DISCUSSION

The study evaluated 36 CT fractions from 18 sources (15 plant species). These plants were chosen because they present a wide range of different CT features in terms of mDP, % PD and % *trans* values. It was expected that this variation would allow exploring the relationships between CTs and their AH activities. These particular CT parameters have been described previously as being involved in their biological activities.[10,19,20,22,27-29] From these 15 plant species 18 tannin extracts were obtained that yielded two related CT fractions (i.e. F1 and F2 fractions). These 36 samples were used to test the effects of quantitative and qualitative differences between CTs. The range of CT concentrations tested with these fractions was chosen based on previous *in vitro* data, which had been obtained with plant extracts of known CT concentrations.[16,26,27]

Three *in vitro* assays are available to explore the interactions between tannins and infective third stage larvae of gastro-intestinal nematodes[30]; i.e. the Larval Migration Inhibition Assay (LMIA), the Larval Feeding Inhibition Assay (LFIA) and the LEIA which has been used in the current study. The LEIA has been widely used to screen the AH activity of either plant extracts,[26,30] tannin fractions[8,10] or flavan-3-ol monomers.[21,22] The LEIA has proved to be simple and reproducible and like the LFIA it also has the advantage that it allows calculation of EC_{50}-values, which is rarely the case for the LMIA. Moreover, LEIA has been related to

similar *in vivo* processes.[31] The LEIA was performed with 2-month-old larvae for both nematode species in order to allow comparison of EC_{50} values obtained with the F1 and F2 fractions of each plant sample and between the 2 nematodes species.

Overall, CT contents (% CT) were higher in the F2 than the F1 fractions and the EC_{50} values for F2 calculated for both nematodes were, in most cases, lower than for F1 fractions. This suggests a role for the % CT in the antiparasitic effect. Similar results were obtained by Williams et al.[20] for the AH effects against *Ascaris suum* with a subset of these F1 and F2 fractions. Many studies, based on different *in vitro* tests, have reported a dose-dependent AH effect when using tannin-containing plant extracts. For example, for some legume forages such dose-dependent effects have been described for i) *O. viciifolia* (sainfoin) with the larval migration inhibition assay (LMIA)[7], LEIA[31], egg hatch assay (EHA)[28] and larval development inhibition assay (LDIA)[28], and for ii) *L. pedunculatus* and *L. corniculatus* extracts with the LMIA and LDIA[27,28], the larval feeding inhibition assay (LFIA) and LEIA.[9] Although surprisingly, there was a significant positive correlation between CT content and AH activity of the F2 fractions for *H. contortus*, there was, no significant correlation when combining the F1+F2 data. Similarly, Naumann et al.[19] also found no relation between CT content and the AH activity against *H. contortus* L3 when comparing fractions from three legumes (*Lespedeza stuevei, L. cuneata* and *Arachis glabrata*). Novobilský et al.[10] compared the effects of different CT fractions from *O. viciifolia* on cattle nematodes of either the abomasum (*Ostertagia ostertagi*) or the small intestine (*Cooperia oncophora)*. These authors also did not obtain consistent correlations between the CT contents and the *in vitro* AH activity as measured by LFIA.

This discrepancy in relationship between dose and AH activity obtained with either CT-containing extracts or fractions could perhaps be related to other compounds that are also present in extracts.[7,21] Indeed Molan et al.[22] also reported deleterious effects of flavan-3-ol monomers against *T. colubriformis* at different life cycle stages, i.e. eggs (EHA) and larvae

(LDIA, LMIA). The highest AH effect occurred with the epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) monomer. This observation was confirmed by further studies with green-tea fractions that were tested against *Teladorsagia circumcincta* and *T. colubriformis,* where higher EGCG content was linked with a higher AH effect.[8] Similarly, when monomeric subunits of CT were tested in the LEIA on *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis,*[21] a higher AH activity was observed with i) the monomeric subunits of PDs (i.e. gallocatechin, epigallocatechin) and ii) the galloyl derivatives of both PDs and procyanidins.

Beside the possible contribution of CT concentration towards explaining antiparasitic activities, several authors have also suggested that CT structures (or quality) could explain some of the observations.[8-10,19,20,22] For instance, it has been proposed that the biological activity is affected by the hydroxylation at the B-ring in flavan-3-ol monomers and in polymers, where the presence of an additional hydroxyl group (OH) increases the interaction with proteins. This could explain the generally higher activity of PDs compared to PCs. In addition, activity is also increased when galloyl groups are present.[21,32-34]

Results of the 2 by 2 calculations of Spearman's correlation coefficients as well as multivariate analyses (PCA) tended to confirm that the *in vitro* AH activity in terms of EC_{50} was related to CT structural features for both *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis*. In addition, our results suggest that different mechanisms appear to be involved for each nematode species. For *H. contortus*, AH activity appeared stronger for CTs with higher PD contents and larger sizes (mDP values). Although, as described by Williams et al.[20] there was no effect of mDP or % PD within F2 fractions on the EC_{50} values. For the F1 fractions, lower EC_{50} values were associated with higher % PD and larger tannins (higher mDP values). Novobilský et al.[10] suggested that mDP was a key factor in the LFIA against L3 of *O. ostertagi* and *C. oncophora* after testing *O. viciifolia* extracts and fractions.

However, Naumann et al.[19] found no clear evidence for CT size and inhibition of *H. contortus* motility. However, only a narrow range of CT sizes was investigated. Conversely to the

present data, Manolaraki[35] found that lower mDP values were correlated with higher AH activity when extracts from 40 *O. viciifolia* accessions were tested by LEIA against *H. contortus*. Similarly, Barrau et al.[7] found that a fraction that contained CTs (< 2000 Da) plus flavonol glycosides had higher AH effects against *H. contortus* larvae than a fraction that contained only CTs (>2000 Da). At this stage, it is important to note that the complexity of plant extract compositions and difficulties in purifying CTs are likely to account for some of these apparent contradictions. Acetone/water extracts from CT-containing plants consist of CTs plus low molecular phenolic compounds (e.g. flavones, flavonols, flavonol glycosides, etc). In addition, CTs usually occur as complex mixtures that contain low to high molecular weight tannins and the mDP-value simply describes the average 'tannin size' rather than the distribution profile of all CTs. In fact, we recently discovered that mixtures of CTs and flavonoids had higher AH activities than CTs on their own.[36] Kozan et al.[37] also reported that flavonol glycosides (luteolin-7-*β*-*O*-gucopyranoside and quercetin-3-*O*-*β*-glucopyranoside) from *Vicia pannonica* var. *purpuracens,* might also participate in the modulation of bioactivity of the highly AH extract and fractions against trichostrongylid larvae. This underlines that the proximity of biochemical structure between flavonol glycosides and CT (which are flavan-3-ols' polymers) could suggest a similar or close mechanism of action for both types of compounds. Taken together, the presence of non-CT compounds (such as flavonoid monomers) could, therefore, explain the apparently contradictory observations by Manolaraki[35] and Barrau et al.[7] The F1 fractions had only half the CT contents of F2 fractions (**Table 1**). However, the combination of F1+F2 data gave a close to significant correlation of EC_{50} and mDP values (**Table 3**).

In contrast, for *T. colubriformis*, % PD was consistently (F1, F2, and combined F1+F2) related to AH activity. This agrees with other reports on *T. colubriformis* larvae, which found higher AH *in vitro* effects of PD- compared with PC-rich tannins.[21,22]

Interestingly, there were different susceptibilities between the two parasite species, which suggested that *H. contortus* was more susceptible than *T. colubriformis*. This is indicated by the overall lower EC_{50} values for the abomasal species with both types of CT fractions. Molan et al.[8] also pointed out that the abomasal nematode *T. circumcincta* was more susceptible than *T. colubriformis* to the AH effects of flavan-3-ol monomers and oligomeric CTs in the LMIA. The same conclusion was drawn from *in vitro* studies that examined extracts from different woody plants (*Rubus fructicosus*, *Quercus robur* and *Corylus avellana)* against *H. contortus, T. circumcincta* and *T. colubriformis* based on LMIA and LEIA tests.[16] However, other authors found no such differences in the response to quebracho or *O. viciifolia* extracts[11,31] between abomasal or intestinal species. Moreno-Gonzalo et al.[38,39] even found a higher *in vitro* susceptibility of *T. colubriformis* compared to *H. contortus* and *T. circumcincta* when measuring the AH activity of extracts from different heather species (*Calluna vulgaris*, *Erica cinerea* and *E. umbellata*). It remains to be seen whether differences in assay conditions could account for some of these contradictory results. Moreover, it will be worth to explore whether exist species specific differences in the quality of larval sheath proteins between the abomasal vs the intestinal species in order to better understand the mode of actions of polyphenols against the different GIN species.

Although it is difficult to extrapolate from *in vitro* to *in vivo* results, our current data provide a screening of CT-containing plants, whose AH properties will need to be explored further in controlled *in vivo* studies in order to develop their potential for on-farm exploitation. It is also worth noting that the CT fractions from three legumes ranked amongst the most effective ones (i.e. having the lowest EC_{50} values): *L. cuneata* pellets, *O. viciifolia* plants and *T. repens* flowers (**Figure 1**). The last decade has seen an accumulation of *in vivo* results that confirm the AH effects of *L. cuneata* and *O. viciifolia* against the main GIN species whether offered to small ruminants in the form of freshly grazed pasture,[40,41] as hay,[15,17,42] as silages[42] or as pellets.[18]

As far as *T. repens* is concerned, no other data are available because the genus *Trifolium sp* is usually considered as a tannin-free legume[43] and consequently the various *Trifolium* species have received little attention for their antiparasitic potential. However, Carlsen and Fomsgaard[44] provided an extensive review of the secondary metabolites in *T. repens* and pointed out the high CT content in flowers. The current study found that CTs from *T. repens* flowers had a strong AH effect and confirmed the dose-dependent inhibition effects of *T. repens* tannins observed for *C. oncophora* in the LFIA.[45]

The CT fractions of *V. paradoxa* were also ranked as highly effective against both nematode species and suggested that some agro-industrial by-products could be of interest for their antiparasitic properties. It is worth noting that AH effects on *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis* were recently also described not only for cocoa seed but also for husk extracts using the EHA.[46]

In conclusion, our results showed that structural features of condensed tannins are key factors that impact on the anthelmintic effects against gastro-intestinal nematodes of ruminants. In addition, there were differences in the susceptibilities of the abomasal as the intestinal nematode species. These differences have been described previously in the literature and could be related to the fact that the nematode sheath proteins differ in these parasite species. This could perhaps affect their interactions with the tannins. It is worth also to underline that the current results have been acquired on infective larvae and that other assays that target other parasitic stages might have different outcomes. Further studies will be needed to explore these interactions at the molecular level.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

Gastrointestinal nematodes, (GINs); condensed tannins (CT); anthelmintic (AH); mean degree of polymerization, (mDP); prodelphinidins, (PD); procyanidins, (PC); phosphate buffered saline, (PBS); larval exsheathment inhibition assay, (LEIA); infective stage nematode larvae, (L3); effective concentration for 50% inhibition of larvae's exsheathment

356 (EC_{50}); larval development inhibition assay, (LDIA); larval feeding inhibition assay, (LFIA);
357 egg hatch assay, (EHA); larval migration inhibition assay, (LMIA).

358 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

359 We thank Alison and Dave Prudence for the *S. caprea* leaves and twigs, Hildred's PYO
360 Farm for the *R. nigrum* and *R. rubrum* leaves and Mr P. Davy for the *O. viciifolia* plants. The
361 *V. paradoxa* meal sample was kindly provided by AarhusKarlshamm Sweden AB, Sweden.
362 We also deeply appreciate to all LegumePlus' fellows for help collecting plant samples.

363 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

364 Origin and supplier of each tannin-containing resource tested. This material is avalaible free
365 of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

366 **FUNDING**

367 The financial support of the European Commission through the PITN-GA-2011-289377,
368 "LegumePlus" project is sincerely acknowledged.

369 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

370 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

(1) Jackson, F.; Varady, M.; Bartley D.J. Managing anthelmintic resistance in goats – Can we learn lessons from sheep? *Small Rum. Res.* **2012**, *103* (1): 3–9.

(2) Hoste, H.; Torres-Acosta, J.F.J. Non chemical control of helminths in ruminants: Adapting solutions for changing worms in a changing world. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2011,** *180*, 144-154.

(3) Andlauer, W.; Fürst, P. Nutraceuticals: a piece of history, present status and outlook. *Food Res.* **2002,** *35*, 171-176.

(4) Athanasiadou, S.; Houdijk, J.; Kyriazakis, I. Exploiting synergisms and interactions in the nutritional approaches to parasite control in sheep production systems. *Small Ruminant Res.* **2008,** *76*, 2-11.

(5) Hoste, H.; Martinez-Ortiz-de-Montellano, C.; Manolaraki, F.; Brunet, S.; Ojeda-Robertos, N.; Fourquaux, I.; Torres-Acosta, J.F.J.; Sandoval-Castro, C.A. Direct and indirect effects of bioactive tannin-rich tropical and temperate legumes against nematode infection. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2012,** *186*, 18–27.

(6) Terrill, T.H.; Miller, J.E.; Burke, J.M.; Mosjidis, J.A.; Kaplan, R.M. Experiences with integrated concepts for the control of *Haemonchus contortus* in sheep and goats in the United States. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2012,** *186*, 28-37.

(7) Barrau, E.; Fabre, N.; Fouraste, I.; Hoste, H. Effect of bioactive compounds from Sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.) on the *in vitro* larval migration of *Haemonchus contortus*: role of tannins and flavonol glycosides. *Parasitology*. **2005,** *131*, 531-538.

(8) Molan, A.L.; Sivakumaran, S.; Spencer, P.A.; Meagher, L.P. Green tea flavan-3-ols and oligomeric proanthocyanidins inhibit the motility of infective larvae of *Teladorsagia circumcincta* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis in vitro*. *Res Vet. Sci.* **2004,** *77*, 239-243.

(9) Novobilský, A.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Thamsborg, S.M. Condensed tannins act against cattle nematodes. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2011,** *182*, 213-220.

(10) Novobilský, A.; Stringano, E.; Hayot Carbonero, C.; Smith, L.M.J.; Enemark, H.L; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Thamsborg, S.M. *In vitro* effect of extracts and purified tannins of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) against two cattle nematodes. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2013,** *196*, 532-537.

(11) Athanasiadou, S.; Kyriazakis, I.; Jackson, F.; Coop, R.L. Direct anthelmintic effects of condensed tannins towards different gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep: *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2001,** *99*, 205-219.

(12) Hoste, H.; Jackson, F.; Athanasiadou, S.; Thamsborg, S.M.; Hoskin, S. The effects of tannin-rich plants on parasitic nematodes in ruminants. *Trends Parasitol.* **2006**, *22*, 253 – 261.

(13) Joshi, B.R.; Kommuru, D.S.; Terrill, T.H.; Mosjidis, J.A.; Burke, J.M.; Shakya, K.P.; Miller, J.E. Effect of feeding sericea lespedeza leaf meal in goats experimentally infected with *Haemonchus contortus*. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2011,** *178*, 192–197.

(14) Max, R.A. Effect of repeated wattle tannin drenches on worm burdens, faecal egg counts and egg hatchability during naturally acquired nematode infection in sheep and goats. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2010,** *169*, 138-143.

(15) Terrill, T.H.; Dykes, G.S.; Shaik, S.A.; Miller, J.E.; Kouakou, B.; Kannan, G.; Burke, J.M.; Mosjidis, J.A. Efficacy of sericea lespedeza hay as a natural dewormer in goats: dose titration study. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2009,** *163*, 52-56.

(16) Paolini, V.; Fouraste I.; Hoste, H. *In vitro* effects of three woody plant and sainfoin on third-stage larvae and adult worms of three gastrointestinal nematodes. *Parasitology***. 2004,** *129*, 69-77.

(17) Paolini, V.; De La Farge, F.; Prevot, F.; Dorchies, Ph.; Hoste, H. Effects of the repeated distribution of sainfoin hay on the resistant and the resilience of goats naturally infected with gastrointestinal nematodes. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2005,** *127*, 277-283.

(18) Kommuru, D.S.; Barker, T.; Desai, S.; Burke, J.M.; Ramsay, A.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Miller, J.E.; Mosjidis, J.A.; Kamisetti, N.; Terrill, T.H. Use of pelleted sericea lespedeza (*Lespedeza cuneata*) for natural control of coccidian and gastrointestinal nematodes in weaned goats. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2014,** *204*, 191-198.

(19) Naumann, H.D.; Armstrong, S.A; Lambert, B.D; Muir, J.P.; Tedeshi L.O.; Kothmann, M.M. Effect of molecular weight and concentration of legume condensed tannins on *in vitro* larval migration inhibition of *Haemonchus contortus*. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2014,** *199*, 93-98.

(20) Williams, A.R.; Fryganas, C.; Ramsay, A.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Thamsborg, S.T. Direct anthelmintic effects of condensed tannins from diverse plant sources against *Ascaris suum*. *PLos ONE*. **2014**, *9(5):*e97053.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097053

(21) Brunet, S.; Hoste, H. Monomers of condensed tannins affect the larval exsheathment of parasitic nematodes of ruminants. *J. Agri. Food Chem*. **2006,** *54*, 7481-7487.

(22) Molan, A.L.; Meagher, L.P.; Spencer; P.A.; Sivakumaran, S. Effect of flavan-3-ols *in vitro* hatching, larval development and viability of infective larvae of *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2003,** *33*, 1691 – 1698.

(23) Blamey, M.; Grey-Wilson, C. La Flore d'Europe Occidentale. Flammarion Ed. United Kingdom. 2003.

(24) Stringano, E.; Gea A.; Salminen, J-P.; Mueller-Harvey, I. Simple solution for a complex problem: proanthocyanidins, galloyl glucoses and ellagitannins fit on a single calibration curve in high-performance-gel permeation chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A.* **2011,** *1218*, 7804-7812.

(25) Gea, A.; Stringano, E.; Brown, R.H.; Mueller-Harvey, I. *In situ* analysis and structural elucidation of sainfoin *(Onobrychis viciifolia)* tannins for high-throughput germplasm screening. *J. Agric. Food Chem*. **2011,** *59*, 495-503.

(26) Bahuaud, D.; Martinez-Ortiz De Montellano, C.; Chauveau, S.; Prevot, F.; Torres-Acosta, F.; Fouraste, I.; Hoste, H. Effects of four tanniferous plant extracts on the *in vitro* exsheathment of third-stage larvae of parasitic nematodes. *Parasitology.* **2006,** *132*, 545-554.

(27) Molan, A.L.; Alexander, R.A.; Brookes, I.M.; McNabb, W.C. Effect of an extract from sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium*) containing condensed tannins on the migration of three sheep gastrointestinal nematodes *in vitro*. *Proc. N. Z. Soc. Anim. Prod.* **2000,** *60*, 21-25.

(28) Molan, A.L.; Waghorn, G.C.; McNabb, W.C. The impact of condensed tannins on egg hactching and larval development *of Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. *Vet. Rec.* **2002,** *150*, 65-69.

(29) Mueller-Harvey, I. Unravelling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2006,** *86*, 2010-2037.

(30) Jackson, F.; Hoste, H. *In vitro* methods for the primary screening of plant products for direct activity against ruminant gastrointestinal nematodes. In: Vercoe, P.E.; Makkar, H.P.S.; Schlink, A.C. (Eds.), *In vitro* screening of Plant Resources for Extra Nutritional Attributes in Ruminants: Nuclear and Related Methodologies, FAO/IAEA Springer Edition. 2010, pp 24-45.

(31) Brunet, S.; Aufrere, J.; El Babili, F.; Fouraste, I.; Hoste, H. The kinetics of exsheathment of infective nematode larvae is disturbed in the presence of a tannin-rich plant extract (sainfoin) both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Parasitology*. **2007**, *134*, 1253-1262.

(32) Brunet, S.; Jackson, F.; Hoste, H. Effects of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) extract and monomer of condensed tannins on the association of abomasal nematode larvae with fundic explants. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2008,** *38*, 783-790.

(33) Li, C.; Leverence, R.; Trombley, J.D.; Xu, S.; Yang, J.; Tian, Y.; Reed, J.D.; Hagerman, A.E. High molecular weight persimmon (*Diospyros kaki* L.) proanthocyanidin, a highly

galloylated, A-linked tannin with an unusual flavonol terminal unit, myricetin. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2010,** *58*, 9033-9042.

(34) Okuda, T.; Ito, H. Tannins of constant structure in medicinal and food plants – hydrolysable tannins and polyphenols related to tannins. *Molecules.* **2011,** *16*, 2191-2217.

(35) Manoralaki, F. Propriétés anthelminthiques du sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*): Analyse des facteurs de variations et du rôle des composés phénoliques impliqués. Ph.D. Dissertation, INP, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France, 2011.

(36) Klongsiriwet, C.; Quijada, J.; Williams, A.R.; Hoste, H.; Williamson, E.; Mueller-Harvey, I. 2014. Synergistic effects between condensed tannins and luteolin on *in vitro* larval exsheathment inhibition of *Haemonchus contortus.* In: *Proceedings of XXVIIth International Conference on Polyphenols (ICP2014),* Nagoya, Japan, 2-6 September 2014. (ISBN978-4-9907847-0-6), p. 601-602.

(37) Kozan, E.; Anul, S.A.; Tatli I.I. *In vitro* anthelmintic effect of *Vicia pannonica* var. *purpurascens* on trichostrongylosis in sheep. *Exp. Parasitol*. **2013**, *134*, 299-303.

(38) Moreno-Gonzalo, J.; Manolaraki, F.; Frutos, P.; Hervas, G.; Celaya, R.; Osoro, K.; Ortega-Mora, L.M.; Hoste, H.; Ferre, I. *In vitro* effect of heather (Ericaceae) extracts on different development stages of *Teladorsagia circumcincta* and *Haemonchus contortus*. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2013a,** *197*, 235-243.

(39) Moreno-Gonzalo, J.; Manolaraki, F.; Frutos, P.; Hervas, G.; Celaya, R.; Osoro, K.; Ortega-Mora, L.M.; Hoste, H.; Ferre, I. *In vitro* effect of heather extracts on *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* eggs, larvae and adults. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2013b,** *197*, 586-594.

(40) Athanasiadou, S.; Tzamaloukas, O.; Kyriazakis, I.; Jackson, F.; Coop, R.L. Testing for direct anthelmintic effects of bioactive forages against *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* in grazing sheep. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2005,** *28*, 233-243.

(41) Mechineni, A.; Kommuru, D.S.; Gujja, S.; Mosjidis, J.A.; Miller, J.E.; Burke, J.M.; Ramsay, A.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Kannan, G.; Lee, J.H.; Kouakou, B.; Terrill, T.H. Effect of fall-grazed sericea lespedeza (*Lespedeza cuneata*) on gastrointestinal nematode infections of growing goats. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2014,** *29*, 221-228.

(42) Heckendorn, F.; Häring, D.A.; Maurer, V.; Zinsstag, J.; Langhans W.; Hertzberg, H. Effect of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) silage and hay on established populations of *Haemonchus contortus* and *Cooperia curticei* in lambs. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2006,** *142*, 293-300.

(43) Barry, T.N. The role of condensed tannins in the nutritional value of *Lotus pedunculatus* for sheep. 3. Rates of body and wool growth. *Brit. J. Nutri*. **1985,** *54*, 217-221.

(44) Carlsen, S.; Fomsgaard, I.S. Biologically active secondary metabolites in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) – a review focusing on contents in the plant, plant–pest interactions and transformation. *Chemoecology*. **2008,** *18*, 129-170.

(45) Desrues, O.; Enemark, H.L.; Mueller-Harvey, I.; Fryganas, C.; Thamsborg, S.M. Preliminary analysis of the relationship between structure and anthelmintic activity of condensed tannins in cattle nematodes. In *Proceedings 7th Novel Approaches to the Control of Helminths of Livestock*, Toulouse, France, March 25–28; 2013, p. 46.

(46) Vargas-Magaña, J.J.; Torres-Acosta, J.F.J.; Aguilar-Caballero, A.J.; Sandoval-Castro, C.A.; Hoste, H.; Chan-Pérez, J.I. Anthelmintic activity of acetone–water extracts against *Haemonchus contortus* eggs: Interactions between tannins and other plant secondary compounds. *Vet. Parasitol*. **2014**, *206*, 322-327

Figure Legends

Figure 1: EC_{50} values (and 95% confidence interval) scores for A) *Haemonchus contortus* and B) *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* using F1 and F2 fractions from the 18 tannin-containing plant resources

Figure 2: Multivariate principal component analyses (PCA) explained to condensed tannins for each parasite species: A) *H. contortus,* B) *T. colubriformis.* For both nematode species, the matrix was composed of 5 variables and 36 lines corresponding to 2 fractions (F1 and F2) of a range of 18 tannin-containing samples. Abbreviations: EC_{50} values based on LEIA (low values reflect high anthelmintic activities), CT (condensed tannins content, units g CT/100 g fraction); mDP (mean degree of polymerization of tannins); PD (% of prodelphinidins) *trans* (% of *trans* flavan-3-ols). The planes represent 67 % of the variability for *H. contortus* and 70 % for *T. colubriformis*, respectively.

Table 1: Chemical characterization of two tannin fractions from 18 plant resources (F1, and F2 fractions; % PC = 100 - % PD; % *cis* = 100 -% *trans*).

Scientific name	Family[23]	Common name/sample	% CT ± SD		mDP ± SD		% PD ± SD		% *Trans* ± SD	
			F1	F2	F1	F2	F1	F2	F1	F2
Onobrychis viciifolia	Leguminosae	Sainfoin/ whole plant	37.2 ±4.5	100±4.1	2.8±0.1	8.7±0.01	72±0.3	64.9±0.1	33.3±0.2	20.9±0.3
*Trifolium repens**	Leguminosae	White clover/ flower	11.7±0.4	100±2.4	1.8±0	8.6±0.0	98.3±0.3	98.7±0.0	82.2±0.1	41.1±0.6
Trifolium repens†	Leguminosae	White clover/ flower	13.4±0.4	82.4± 2.0	3.1±0.1	12.7±0.0	98.1±0.1	98.8±0.0	74.2±0.2	38.2±0.0
Lespedeza cuneata	Leguminosae	Sericea lespedeza/ pellets	42.1±0.2	82.6±1.4	5±0.0	11.3±0.3	92.4±0.1	92.3±0.0	34.7±0.0	24.8±0.2
Betula spp	Betulaceae	Birch/ leaf	12.9 ±0.3	63.6±2.5	2.2±0.0	8.3±0.1	44.7±0.1	58.9±0.1	59.3±0.1	29.3±0.1
Corylus avellana	Corylaceae	Hazelnut/ pericarp	49.2±1.1	67.5±0.6	4.6±01	9.2±0.1	18.3±0.9	20.9±0.8	59±0.11	52.2±0.35
Juglans regia L.	Juglandaceae	Walnut/ leaf	21.8±1.4	69.0±1.7	2.9±0.0	12.3±0.1	9.3±0.4	30.9±0.0	56.1±0.0	23.7±0.0
Pinus sylvestris L.	Pinaceae	Pine/ inner bark	54±2	79±2.4	2.3±0.0	6.6±0.2	15.1±0.6	11.2±1.7	51.9±0.7	21.9±1.8
Tilia L.	Tiliaceae	Lime tree/ flower	47.5±2.8	91.7±3.8	2.0±0.0	7.9±0.1	1.1±0.0	0.9±0.1	16.4±0.0	4.4±0.1
Salix spp	Salicaceae	White willlow / bark	23.1±1.7	83.3±0.6	2±0.1	9.9±0.0	0.0±0.0	6.0±0.0	63.0±0.2	21.9±0.0
Salix babylonica	Salicaceae	Weeping willow / catkins	40.2±2.8	97.4±2.2	2.9±0.0	8±0.3	24.6±0.1	33±1.7	44.5±0.2	42.3±1.2
Salix caprea	Salicaceae	Goat willow / leaf	51.5±0.1	83.8±1.8	2.1±0.1	5.3±0.1	5.8±0.3	4.8±0.6	93.2± 0.3	95.8±0.2
Salix caprea	Salicaceae	Goat willow / twigs	72±1.1	93.2±11	2.1±0.0	5.3±0.1	15.6 ±0.9	21.3±0.71	59.4±0.1	37.2±0.4
*Ribes nigrum**	Grossilariaceae	Black currant/ leaf	59.8±1.3	100±1.7	2.5±0.0	6.5±0.1	93.7±0.07	94.5±0.11	87.2±0.1	93.0±0.1
Ribes nigrum†	Grossilariaceae	Black currant/ leaf	55.5±3.2	77.1±3.9	3.8±0.0	11.8±0.1	94.0±0.0	95.3±0.0	91.5±0.1	81.2±0.1
Ribes rubrum	Grossilariaceae	Red currant/ leaf	57.7±9.1	68.2±1.1	4.9±0.0	10±0.1	85.8±0.4	90.4±0.1	55.7±1.1	35.6±0.9
Theobroma cacao	Malvaceae	Cocoa/ seed	58.5±2.9	75.5±8.1	2.3±0.0	5.4±0.1	0.0±0.2	0.0±0.0	8.7±0.2	3.7±0.1
Vitellaria paradoxa	Sapotaceae	Shea/ meal	33.0±0.6	44.9±0.8	2.2±0.1	4.1±0.1	76.3±0.1	72.5±0.1	41.4±0.3	40.2±0.1
		Mean values	40.2±9.2	81.1±7.4	2.8±0.5	8.4±1.3	44.6±20.2	49.7±19.4	56.2±12.4	39.3±13.3

*sample a; *†sample b*

Table 2: EC_{50} values by parasite and by fraction (F1 or F2) from each tannin-containing resource tested

Plant	Abbreviation	Family[26]	*H. contortus* EC_{50} (95% CI) (µg/ml)		*T. colubriformis* EC_{50} (95% CI) (µg/ml)	
			F1	F2	F1	F2
Onobrychis viciifolia	OVF1/OV2	Leguminosae	62.7 (49.9-76.5)	212 (182-250)	203 (131-322)	147 (99-230)
Trifolium repens (a)	TRaF1/TRaF2	Leguminosae	287 (249-328)	177 (131-239)	110 (82.1-145)	152 (109-210)
Trifolium repens (b)	TRbF1/TRbF2	Leguminosae	37.5 < (0.7 -74.4) *	37.5 < (0.08-42.4) *	132 (92.3-186)	110 (63.2-166)
Lespedeza cuneata	LCF1/LCF2	Leguminosae	78.2 (28.1-157)	37.5 <(2.5-55.3) *	198 (108-366)	94.9 (50.5-140)
Corylus avellana	CAF1/C1F2	Corylaceae	166 (82.5-441)	143 (104-170)	351 (287-441)	329 (209-671)
Juglans regia L.	JRF1/JRF2	Juglandaceae	94.7 (65.5-115)	70.6 (46.9-106)	258 (130-386)	243 (169-384)
Betula spp	BPF1/BPF2	Betulaceae	62.8 (58.6-82)	62.6 (19-90.3)	226 (163-335)	125 (86.7-169)
Pinus sylvestris L.	PSF1/PSF2	Pinaceae	236 (192-290)	144 (125-167)	184 (121-305)	135.91 (112-163)
Tilia L. spp.	TF1/TF2	Tiliaceae	113 (82-157)	88.7 (66.1-107)	459 (353-660)	297 (258-335)
Salix spp	SAF1/SAF2	Salicaceae	188 (137-241)	138 (117-154)	300 (271-333)	191 (126-294)
Salix babylonica	SBF1/SBF2	Salicaceae	174 (120-206)	128 (69.8-166)	181 (152-214)	108 (83.8-132)
Salix caprea (twigs)	SCTF1/SCTF2	Salicaceae	195 (142-266)	132 (97.6-184)	385 (296-459)	125 (94.9-159)
Salix caprea (leaves)	SCLF1/SCLF2	Salicaceae	196 (86-217)	161 (133-191)	377 (316-435)	316 (243-420)
Ribes nigrum (sample a)	RNaF1/RNaF2	Grossilariaceae	145 (85-259)	157 (124-203)	145 (123-169)	89.5 (70.1-111)
Ribes nigrum (sample b)	RNbF2/RNbF2	Grossilariaceae	48.7 (78.1-158)	59.2 (18.5-111)	315 (212 -592)	209 (140-344)
Ribes rubrum	RRF1/RRF2	Grossilariaceae	-	97.8 (85.4-305)	130 (84.5-199)	124 (99.5-152)
Theobroma cacao	TCF1/TCF2	Malvaceae	208 (168-246)	65.2 (34.1-95.7)	76.1 (24.3-130)	122 (94.8-200)
Vitellaria paradoxa	VP1/VP2	Sapotaceae	37.5 < (0.7-29.1) *	37.5 < (0.48-36.5) *	169 (115-288)	76 (65.7-86.7)
		Mean values	136.9 ± 74.1	108.1 ± 53.2	233 ± 54.3	166 ± 39.9

* the calculation of the EC_{50} values relying on the Polo Plus software gave the following values for the effects against *H contortus* for *T. repens (b)* fraction F1 = 33.2 µg/ml and fraction F2 = 14.5 µg/ml; for *Lespedeza cuneate* fraction F2 = 29,4 µg/ml, for *Vitellaria paradoxa* fraction F1 = 13, 6 µg/ml and fraction F2 = 16,5 µg/ml

Table 3: Spearman's correlation coefficients for anthelmintic activity by nematode species according to tannin content and structural parameters in F1 and/or F2 fractions

Variable	*Haemonchus contortus*						*Trichostrongylus colubriformis*					
	F1		F2		F1 + F2		F1		F2		F1 + F2	
	EC_{50} (µg/ml)		EC_{50} (µg/ml)		EC_{50} (µg/ml)		EC_{50} (µg/ml)		EC_{50} (µg/ml)		EC_{50} (µg/ml)	
Degree of freedom (df)	15		16		33		16		16		34	
	r - value	*P* - value	*r* - value	*P* - value	*r* - value	*P* - value	*r* - value	*P* - value	*r* - value	*P* - value	*r* - value	*P* - value
% CT	0.30	0.44	0.61 [a]	0.50	0.12	0.29	0.10	0.43	0.01	0.43	-0.22	0.28
mDP	-0.46 [b]	0.44	-0.28	0.43	-0.33 [b]	0.29	-0.17	0.43	0.19	0.43	-0.26	0.28
% PD	-0.44 [b]	0.44	-0.22	0.43	-0.35 [a]	0.34	-0.46 [b]	0.43	-0.43 [b]	0.43	-0.40 [a]	0.34
% *trans*	0.08	0.44	0.12	0.43	0.18	0.29	0.12	0.43	-0.01	0.43	0.24	0.28

[a] $P < 0.05$, [b] $P < 0.10$

Figure 1


A) EC50 (µg/ml) values for Haemonchus contortus
EC50 (µg/ml)
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
TRbF2 VPF2 LCF2 TRbF1 VPF1 RNbF1 RNbF2 BPF2 OVF1 BPF1 TCF2 JRF2 LCF1 TF2 JRF1 RRF2 TF1 SBF2 SCTF2 SAF2 CAF2 PSF2 RNaF1 RNaF2 SCLF2 CAF1 SBF1 TRaF2 SAF1 SCTF1 SCLF1 TCF1 OVF2 PSF1 TRaF1 RRF1
B) EC50 (µg/ml) score values for T. colubriformis
EC50 (µg/ml)
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
VPF2 TCF1 RNaF2 LCF2 SBF2 TRaF1 TRbF2 TCF2 RRF2 BF2 SCTF2 RRF1 TRbF1 PSF2 RNaF1 OVF2 TRaF2 VPF1 SBF1 PSF1 SAF2 LCF1 OVF1 RNbF2 BF1 JRF2 JRF1 TF2 SAF1 RNbF1 SCLF2 CAF2 CAF1 SCLF1 SCTF1 TF1

Figure 2


A) PCA values for H. contortus
PD
trans
mDP
CT
EC50
axe 1
axe 2
1
0,5
0
-0,5
-1
-1
-0,5
0
0,5
1


B) PCA for T. colubriformis
PD
trans
mDP
CT
EC50
axe 1
axe 2
1
0,5
0
-0,5
-1
-1
-0,5
0
0,5
1

For the Table of Contents Only



1st: Condensed tannins (CTs) extraction from tannin-containing resources. 2nd: CTs fractionation. 3rd: F1 and F2 are yielded. 4th: bioassay, Larval Exsheathment Inhibition Assay (LEIA). 5th: interpretation